

From: sblock@ktasecurity.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 7:57 AM
To: INSTestimony
Subject: my testimony
Attachments: Insurance Bill 3 19 13.docx

To the legislative committee hearing on Firearms insurance.

I give my proxy to the court clerk to read aloud my letter as I have to work today so you can get paid....

Seth A. Block

203.209.7937
SAB835@GMAIL.COM

March 19th 2013

To Connecticut Legislators hearing on the Bill listed below:

AN ACT CONCERNING LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR FIREARM POSSESSORS OR OWNERS.

To require any person who possesses or owns a firearm to procure and maintain excess personal liability insurance and self defense insurance, and to require the Insurance Commissioner to adopt regulations to implement the provisions of this section.

There are so many things wrong with this notion that I don't know where to begin, or maybe the question should be where does this all end. Since when does a gun owner automatically become suspected of using a firearm for self defense and why then must a citizen that owns a home, that presumably already has home owners insurance and an umbrella policy need yet another policy to cover themselves against self defense. It is a Constitutional right, a Biblical and moral right to defend oneself. There is no doubt about this, it says so in the 2nd amendment and in Connecticut's own article 15 and the Bible for those that have read that little piece of parchment.

Is this legislative body tossing out the law as well as common sense?

What is next? Frisbee insurance, Baseball bat insurance, Tennis racket, Hammer, spitball insurance surely just about anything can be used to either launch a projectile or be used in self defense must also warrant this protection?

In a State that ranks 1st in job loss, 49th in job creation, ranks 1st in elder abuse, is the home of the petit family home invasion and of course the sandy hook school slaughter, this bill will do absolutely nothing to protect its citizens.

In addition, If this Bill is enacted, every police officer and armed security guard company will also have to purchase insurance, the costs of that will undoubtedly be passed on to the consumer and taxpayer adding to the already heavy costs of security. This additional burden heaped on a state that is already flat broke whose citizens are rapidly nearing the fail safe line where they will be forced to leave the state to find a more affordable place to live is another example of Political correctness run amok.

I don't know why this Bill is being entertained at all, it is a red herring and is a complete waste of your time and as a tax payer that is footing the bill for your time I am appalled that you are not using my money in a more fruitful endeavor.

Respectfully,

Seth Block

Fairfield, CT